Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

VAGUE BINARY SOFT SETS AND THEIR PROPERTIES

¹Remya.P.B, ² Dr. Francina Shalini.A ¹Ph.D Research Scholar, ²Assistant Professor

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to introduce the novel concept vague binary soft sets and to characterize some of its properties.

Keywords: Vague binary set, vague binary soft set, vague binary soft equal set, vague binary soft complement, vague binary soft AND operation, vague binary soft OR operation

Notations: \ddot{F} (e) represents the e-approximate element under the mapping \ddot{F} . Usual set theoretical operations with double dot on top will be used for vague binary soft set operations. Vague binary soft set is denoted by VBSS. Collection of all vague binary soft sets over the common universe U_1 , U_2 under fixed parameter set A is denoted by VBSS(U_1 , U_2)_A

1. Introduction

In most of the real life situations, humanity is bound to face with loss of data, unclear data, game of chance etc. To overcome such situations, classical probability theory (Gerolamo Cardano, 1501-1575)[5], fuzzy set theory (Zadeh, 1965)[2,5], rough set theory (Pawlak, 1982)[2,5], intuitionistic fuzzy set theory (Attanassov, 1986)[2,5],vague set theory (Gaue & Buehrer, 1993)[5], theory of interval mathematics (Moore, 1996)[5], neutrosophic set theory (Smarandache, 2005)[2] etc have played an important role. Inspired from 'Pawlak's work done in 1993', Molodtsov introduced soft sets in 1999. It has loosened all the existing rigid structure of classical sets by providing plenty of parameterization tools. Hybrid structures like fuzzy soft [3], soft fuzzy [3], neutrosophic soft [2], vague soft [2,4] etc developed later to make things more easier. All of them found rich with parameterization tools.

Later in 2016, Ahu Acikgöz [1] introduced binary soft sets with its operations and concluded that soft set can be given on n-dimension initial universal sets with a parameter set like F: $A \to \prod_{i=1}^n P(U_i)$, where U_i are initial universal sets for $1 \le i \le n$ and A is the parameter set. Vague binary sets and Vague binary soft sets with two initial universal sets are introduced. Some of the basic operations for vague binary soft sets like union, intersection, complement, AND operation, OR operation, Cartesian product are introduced in this paper. Terms like null vague binary soft set, absolute vague binary soft set are also introduced.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1:[2,5]

A vague set A in the universe of discourse $U=\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ is characterized by two membership functions given by

- (1) a truth membership function $t_A: U \rightarrow [0,1]$
- (2) a false membership function $f_A: U \rightarrow [0,1]$ where $t_A(u_i)$ is a lower bound of the grade of membership of u_i derived from the "evidence for u_i " and $f_A(u_i)$ is a lower bound on the negation

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

of u derived from the "evidence against u_i " and $t_A(u_i)+f_A(u_i)\leqslant 1$. Thus the grade of membership of u_i in the vague set A is bounded by a sub-interval $[t_A(u_i), 1-f_A(u_i)]$ of [0,1]. This indicates that if the actual grade of membership is $\mu(u_i)$ then $t_A(u_i)\leqslant \mu(u_i)\leqslant f_A(u_i)$. The vague set A is written as $A=\{(u_i,[t_A(u_i),1-f_A(u_i)]) \mid u_i\in U\}$, where interval $[t_A(u_i),1-f_A(u_i)]$ is called the vague value of u_i in A denoted by $V_A(u_i)$

Definition 2.2:[5]

Let U be the initial universe and E be the set of parameters. Let P(U) denote the power set of U and A be a non-empty subset of E. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U where F is a mapping given by $F: A \longrightarrow P(U)$

Definition 2.3:[2]

Let U be a universe, E be a set of parameters, V(U) be the power set of vague set on U and A \subseteq B. A pair (F,A) is called a vague soft set over U where F is a mapping given by F:A \rightarrow V(U). In other words, a vague soft set over U is a parameterized family of vague sets of the universe U. For $e\in$ A, $\mu_{F(e)}$:U \rightarrow [0,1] is regarded as the set of e-approximate elements of the vague soft sets.

Definition 2.4:[1]

Let U_1 , U_2 be two initial universal sets and E be a set of parameters. Let $P(U_1)$, $P(U_2)$ denote the power set of U_1 , U_2 respectively. Also let A, B \subseteq E. A pair (F, A) is called a binary soft set over U_1, U_2 where F is defined as below F: $A \longrightarrow P(U_1) \times P(U_2)$, F(e) = (X, Y) for each $e \in A$ such that $X \subseteq U_1$, $Y \subseteq U_2$

3. Vague binary soft sets

In this section vague binary sets and vague binary soft sets are introduced with some examples **Definition 3.1:**

A vague binary set A in a common universe U_1, U_2 is an object of the form

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{\mathbf{A}}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall \ x_i \in U_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{\mathbf{A}}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall \ y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$V_A(x_i) = [t_A(x_i), 1 - f_A(x_i)]; V_A(x_i): U_1 \longrightarrow [0, 1]; V_A(y_i) = [t_A(y_i), 1 - f_A(y_i)]; V_A(y_i): U_2 \longrightarrow [0, 1]$$
 Example 3.2:

Let $U_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ and $U_2 = \{l_1, l_2, l_3\}$ be food varieties for break-fast and lunch respectively. Availability of these items in a particular hotel can be given using a vague binary set say A as $A = \left\{ \left(\left\langle \frac{[0.3, 0.5]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5, 0.7]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.7, 0.9]}{b_3} \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.5]}{l_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.8]}{l_2}, \frac{[0.8, 0.9]}{l_3} \right\rangle \right) \right\}$

Definition 3.3:

Let U_1 , U_2 be two initial universes which is common to a set E of parameters. Let $V(U_1)$, $V(U_2)$ denote power set of vague sets on U_1 , U_2 respectively and $A \subseteq E$.

A pair (\ddot{F}, A) is said to be a vague binary soft set over U_1 , U_2 where \ddot{F} is a mapping given by $\ddot{F}: A \longrightarrow V(U_1) \times V(U_2)$ and

$$(\ddot{F}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A}/(\mathbf{e}, \ddot{F}(\mathbf{e})) \} \text{ where } \ddot{F}(\mathbf{e}) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{\ddot{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall \ x_i \in U_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{\ddot{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall \ y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Example 3.4:

In example 3.2. add a parameter set for characteristics of different hotels say, $E=\{e_1 = luxury, e_1 = luxury, e_2 = luxury, e_3 = luxury, e_4 = luxury, e_5 = luxury, e_6 = luxury, e_7 = luxury, e_7 = luxury, e_8 = luxury,$ e_2 = famous, e_3 = warm serviced, e_4 = early check-in and late check-out, e_5 = honest information, e_6 = hospitality. A customer's preferences are given by the parameter set A= $\{e_3, e_6\}$. Vague binary soft set according to their interest is given as,

$$(\ddot{F}, \mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} \left(e_3, \left(\langle \frac{[0.3, 0.5]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5, 0.7]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.7, 0.9]}{b_3} \rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.5]}{l_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.8]}{l_2}, \frac{[0.8, 0.9]}{l_3} \rangle \right) \right), \\ \left(e_6, \left(\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.6]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.6, 0.8]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.8, 0.9]}{b_3} \rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.4, 0.8]}{l_1}, \frac{[0.3, 0.5]}{l_2}, \frac{[0.7, 0.9]}{l_3} \rangle \right) \right) \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.5:

A VBSS (\ddot{F}, A) over U_1 , U_2 is said to be a null vague binary soft set denoted by $(\ddot{\emptyset}, A)$ if $[t_{F(e)}(x_i), 1-f_{F(e)}(x_i)] = [0, 0]; \ \forall x_i \in U_1, \ \forall e \in A;$ $[t_{\ddot{r}(e)}(y_i), 1-f_{\ddot{r}(e)}(y_i)] = [0, 0]; \ \forall y_i \in U_2, \ \forall e \in A$

Definition 3.6:

A VBSS (\ddot{F}, A) over U_1 , U_2 is said to be an absolute vague binary soft set denoted by (\ddot{U}, A) if $[t_{F(e)}(x_i), 1-f_{F(e)}(x_i)] = [1, 1]; \ \forall x_i \in U_1, \ \forall e \in A;$ $[t_{\ddot{F}(e)}(y_i), 1-f_{\ddot{F}(e)}(y_i)] = [1, 1]; \ \forall y_i \in U_2, \ \forall e \in A$

4. Vague binary soft set operations

Operations on vague sets are natural generalizations of corresponding operations on fuzzy sets **Definition 4.1:**

Let U_1 , U_2 be two non-empty universal sets common to the fixed parameter set E and

$$(\ddot{F}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ e \in \mathbf{A}/(e, \ddot{F}(e)) \} ; \ddot{F}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i)}{x_i} ; \forall x_i \in U_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{\ddot{F}(e)}(y_i)}{y_i} ; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$(\ddot{G}, \mathbf{B}) = \{e \in \mathbf{B}/(e, \ddot{G}(e))\} \; ; \; \ddot{G}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \text{ are 2 VBSS's.}$$

Vague binary soft union of these two sets is denoted by $(\ddot{F}, A)\ddot{U}(\ddot{G}, B)$. Let it be (\ddot{H}, C) . Here C = (AUB); U represents the usual set theoretic operation. Then $\forall e \in C$,

$$\ddot{H}(e) = \ddot{F}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B)$
$$\ddot{G}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A)$
$$\ddot{F}(e) \cup \ddot{G}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (A \cap B)$

$$t_{\ddot{H}(e)} = t_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i) \qquad ; e \in (A-B), \forall x_i \in U_1$$
$$t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i) \qquad ; e \in (B-A), \forall x_i \in U_2$$

$$t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A), \forall x_i \in U_1$

$$t_{\ddot{F}(\mathrm{e})}(y_i) \hspace{1cm} ; \mathrm{e} \in (\mathrm{A-B}), \, \forall y_i \in U_2$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$\begin{split} t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i) & ; e \in (B-A), \, \forall y_i \in U_2 \\ \max \ (t_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i), t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \, \forall x_i \in U_1 \\ \max \ (t_{\ddot{F}(e)}(y_i), t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \, \forall y_i \in U_2 \\ 1 - f_{\ddot{H}(e)} &= 1 - f_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i) \\ 1 - f_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i) \ ; e \in (A-B), \, \forall x_i \in U_1 \\ 1 - f_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i) \ ; e \in (A-B), \, \forall y_i \in U_2 \\ 1 - f_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i) \ ; e \in (A-B), \, \forall y_i \in U_2 \\ \max \ (1 - f_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i), \, 1 - f_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \, \forall x_i \in U_1 \\ \max \ (1 - f_{\ddot{F}(e)}(y_i), \, 1 - f_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \, \forall y_i \in U_2 \end{split}$$

Example 4.2:

Let $U_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}$ be the set of books; $U_2 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ be the set of pencils; $E = \{e_1 = \text{cheap}, e_2 = \text{expensive}, e_3 = \text{attractive}, e_4 = \text{small}, e_5 = \text{long}, e_6 = \text{colorful}\}$ be the set of parameters. $A = \{e_3, e_4, e_6\} \subseteq E$ and $B = \{e_2, e_3, e_5\} \subseteq B$. Let (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) be two VBSS's over common universe U_1, U_2

Combined tabular representation of VBSS's (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) is given below

		A		В			
	U	e ₃₌ attractiv	e ₄₌ small	e ₆₌ colorfu	a. avnanciv	a. attractiv	e ₅₌ long
	O	e ₃₌ attractiv	C4=SIIIaII	1	e ₂₌ expensiv e	e ₃₌ attractiv	e _{5=1011g}
	b_1	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.4]⟩	([0.5,0.6])	⟨[0.4,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩
	b_2	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩
	b_3	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.7]⟩	([0.6,0.8])	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩
U	b_4	⟨[0.2,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩	([0.2,0.9])	⟨[0.3,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.6]⟩
1	b ₅	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩
	p_1	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	([0.2,0.9])	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩
	p_2	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.7,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.7,0.8]⟩
U	p ₃	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	([0.2,0.6])	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.1,0.9]⟩
2							

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Union of VBSS's (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) is (\ddot{H}, C) can be represented as follows:

J	C	e _{2=expensive}	e _{3=attractive}	e _{4=small}	e _{5=long}	e _{6=colorful}
	b_1	⟨[0.4,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.6]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.6]⟩
	b_2	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩	([0.5,0.8])	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩
U_1	b_3	⟨[0.4,0.7]⟩	([0.6,0.8])	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩
	b_4	([0.2,0.9])	([0.3,0.6])	([0.4,0.8])	([0.2,0.6])	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩
	b ₅	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	([0.5,0.8])	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩
	p_1	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩
U_2	p_2	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.7,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	([0.7,0.8])	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩
	p_3	([0.2,0.6])	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.1,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩

Definition 4.3:

Let U_1, U_2 be two non-empty universal sets common to the fixed parameter set E and

$$(\ddot{F}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ e \in \mathbf{A}/(e, \ddot{F}(e)) \}; \ddot{F}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$(\ddot{G}, B) = \{e \in B/(e, \ddot{G}(e))\}; \ddot{G}(e) = \left\{ \left(\left\langle \frac{V_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{V_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \right\rangle \right) \right\} \text{ are } 2$$
VBSS's.

Vague binary soft intersection of these sets is den

by $(\ddot{F}, A) \ddot{\cap} (\ddot{G}, B)$. Let it be (\ddot{K}, D) . theoretic operation. Then $\forall e \in D$,

Here $D = (A \cap B)$; \cap represents the usual set $\ddot{K}(e) = \ddot{F}(e)$

Vague binary soft intersection of these sets is denoted

 $e \in (A-B)$

$$\ddot{G}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A)$

$$\ddot{F}(e) \cap \ddot{G}(e)$$
; $e \in (A \cap B)$

$$t_{\mathcal{K}(e)} = t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$

$$t_{\ddot{c}(e)}(x_i)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A), \forall x_i \in U_1$

$$t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$

$$t_{\,\mathcal{L}(e)}(y_i) \qquad \qquad ; \, e \! \in \! (B\! -\! A), \, \forall \mathcal{Y}_i \, \in \, \mathcal{U}_2$$

$$\min(t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i), t_{\mathcal{E}(e)}(x_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$$

$$\min(t_{\ddot{\mathcal{F}}(e)}(y_i), t_{\ddot{\mathcal{G}}(e)}(y_i)) \ ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$$

$$1 - f_{\mathcal{K}(\mathsf{e})} = 1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{e})}(\mathsf{x}_\mathsf{i}) \qquad \qquad ; \, \mathsf{e} \in (\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B}), \, \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{l}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

 $1 - f_{\ddot{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(x_i) \qquad ; e \in (B - A), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1$

 $1-f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i)$; $e \in (A-B), \forall y_i \in U_2$

 $1 - f_{\mathcal{C}(e)}(y_i)$; $e \in (B-A), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$

 $\min(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i), 1-f_{\mathcal{G}(e)}(x_i)) ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1$

 $\min(1-f_{F(e)}(y_i), 1-f_{G(e)}(y_i))$; $e \in (A \cap B), \forall y_i \in U_2$

Example 4.4:

Consider the example given in 4.2.

Intersection of VBSS's (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) is (\ddot{K}, D) can be represented as follows:

ι	D	e ₂₌ expensive	e ₃₌ attractive	e ₄₌ small	e ₅₌ long	e ₆₌ colorful
	b_1	([0.4,0.6])	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.6]⟩
	b_2	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩
U_1	b_3	⟨[0.4,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩
	b_4	([0.2,0.9])	\([0.2,0.6]\)	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩	\([0.2,0.6]\)	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩
	b ₅	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	\([0.3,0.5]\)	([0.5,0.8])	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩
U_2	p_1	⟨[0.2,0.4]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩
	p_2	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.7,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩
	p_3	([0.2,0.6])	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.1,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩

Definition 4.5:

Let $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ and $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ be two vague binary soft sets over a common universe \mathcal{U}_1 , \mathcal{U}_2 with a fixed set E of parameters. AND operation between these sets is denoted as $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \mathring{\wedge} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$. Let it be $(\ddot{\mathcal{M}}, A \times B)$; $\ddot{\mathcal{M}}: A \times B \to V(\mathcal{U}_1) \times V(\mathcal{U}_2)$ and $\ddot{\mathcal{M}}(a, b) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) \cap \ddot{\mathcal{G}}(b), \forall (a, b) \in C = (A \times B)$. Here \bigcap denotes the usual set theoretical operation

Example 4.6:

Let $U_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}$ be the set of buses; $U_2 = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ be the set of routes; $E = \{e_1 = \text{rural}, e_2 = \text{urban}, e_3 = \text{inter-state}, e_4 = \text{air-bus}, e_5 = \text{luxury}, e_6 = \text{sleeper}\}$ be the set of parameters. $A = \{e_2 = \text{urban}, e_4 = \text{air-bus}\}$; $B = \{e_3 = \text{inter-state}\}$; $C = A \times B = \{(e_2, e_3), (e_4, e_3)\}$.

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Combined tabular representation of VBSS's (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) is given as follows:

U		A		В	
		$e_2 =$	e_4 = air-	e ₃₌ inter-	
		urban	bus	state	
	b_1	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.4]⟩	([0.5,0.6])	
	b_2	([0.5,0.8])	⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩	([0.4,0.5])	
U_1	b ₃	⟨[0.6,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.6,0.8]⟩	
	b ₄	([0.2,0.6])	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩	([0.3,0.6])	
	b ₅	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	\([0.5,0.8]\)	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	
	\mathbf{r}_1	([0.4,0.8])	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	
U_2	r ₂	([0.2.0.9])	([0.3.0.5])	([0.7.0.9])	

AND operation of (F, A) and (G, B) is VBSS $(M, A \times B)$ is as follows:

 r_3 $\langle [0.2,0.7] \rangle$ $\langle [0.4,0.5] \rangle$ $\langle [0.5,0.7] \rangle$

=A×B U	С	(e ₂ , e ₃)=(urban, interstate)	(e ₄ , e ₃)=(air-bus, interstate)
	b_1 b_2	⟨[0.1,0.3]⟩ ⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.4]⟩ ⟨[0.2,0.3]⟩
U_1	b_3	\[0.4,0.5]\\\\[(0.6,0.7]\\\\	([0.2,0.7])
	b ₄	⟨[0.2,0.6]⟩	([0.3,0.6])
	b_5	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩
	\mathbf{r}_1	⟨[0.2,0.8]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩
U_2	\mathbf{r}_2	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩
	\mathbf{r}_3	⟨[0.2,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩

Definition 4.7:

Let $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ and $(\ddot{\mathcal{C}}, B)$ be two VBSS's over a common universe \mathcal{U}_1 , \mathcal{U}_2 with a set E of parameters. OR operation between these sets is denoted as $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\vee} (\ddot{\mathcal{C}}, B)$. Let it be $(\ddot{\mathcal{W}}, A \times B)$; $\ddot{\mathcal{W}}: A \times B \to V(U_1) \times V(U_2)$ and $\ddot{\mathcal{W}}(a, b) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) \cup \ddot{\mathcal{C}}(b)$, $\forall (a, b) \in C = (A \times B)$. Here U denotes the usual set theoretical operation

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Example 4.8:

Consider example 4.6: OR operation of (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) is VBSS $(\ddot{N}, A \times B)$ is as follows:

=A×1 U	C B	(e ₂ , e ₃) =(urban, inter- state)	(e ₄ , e ₃) =(air-bus, inter-state)
	b_1	⟨[0.5,0.6]⟩	([0.5,0.6])
	b_2	([0.5,0.8])	⟨[0.4,0.5]⟩
	b_3	([0.6,0.8])	⟨[0.6,0.8]⟩
U_1	b_4	([0.3,0.6])	⟨[0.4,0.8]⟩
	b ₅	⟨[0.3,0.5]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.8]⟩
	\mathbf{r}_1	⟨[0.4,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.2,0.9]⟩
	\mathbf{r}_2	⟨[0.7,0.9]⟩	⟨[0.7,0.9]⟩
U_2	\mathbf{r}_3	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩	⟨[0.5,0.7]⟩

Definition 4.9:

A vague binary soft set $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ is contained in another vague binary soft set $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ denoted as $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \stackrel{.}{\sqsubseteq} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ if

- (1) **A**⊆**B**
- (2) \forall e \in A, \ddot{F} (e) and \ddot{G} (e) are identical approximations

In this case (\ddot{F}, A) is called *vague binary soft subset* of (\ddot{G}, B) and (\ddot{G}, B) is called vague binary soft superset of (\ddot{F}, A) where \subseteq denotes the usual set theoretical operation

Example 4.10:

Let
$$U_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$$
; $U_2 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$; $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$; $A = \{e_3, e_4\} \subseteq E \& B = \{e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subseteq E$.

Let (\mathcal{F}, A) & (\mathcal{G}, B) be two VBSS's over common universe $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$.

$$(\ddot{F}, A) = \{ (e_3, \langle \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{b_3} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.6]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.8,0.9]}{p_3} \rangle), \\ (e_4, \langle \frac{[0.3,0.4]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.7]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{b_3} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.7]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{p_3} \rangle) \} \\ (\ddot{G}, B) = \{ (e_2, \langle \frac{[0.4,0.5]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.3,0.5]}{b_3} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.6,0.6]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.6]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{p_3} \rangle), \\ (e_3, \langle \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{b_3} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.6]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.8,0.9]}{p_3} \rangle) \} \\ (e_4, \langle \frac{[0.3,0.4]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.7]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{b_3} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.7]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{p_3} \rangle) \}$$

In this case $(F, A) \stackrel{.}{\sqsubseteq} (G, B)$ i.e., (F, A) is a vague binary soft subset of (G, B).

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$(\ddot{\mathcal{C}}, B) \ddot{\supseteq} (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$
 i.e., $(\ddot{\mathcal{C}}, B)$ is a vague binary soft superset of $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$

Definition 4.11:

Let $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ and $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ are two VBSS's. These sets are said to be *vague binary soft equal* if $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ is a *vague binary soft subset* of $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ and $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ is a vague binary soft superset of $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$. It is denoted by $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$

Definition 4.12:

Complement of a VBSS (\ddot{F} , A) with respect to absolute VBSS (\ddot{U} , A) is denoted by $(\ddot{F}, A)^c = (\ddot{F}^c, A)$ is a mapping given by $\ddot{F}^c : A \longrightarrow V(U_I) \times V(U_2)$ such that

$$t_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{L}}(e)}(x_i) = f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i)$$
 ; $\forall e \in A, \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$

$$t_{\mathcal{F}^{c}(e)}(y_{i}) = f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_{i})$$
 ; $\forall e \in A, \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$

$$1 - f_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(\mathcal{X}_i)$$
; $\forall e \in A, \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$

$$1 - f_{\mathcal{F}^{c}(e)}(y_{i}) = 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_{i}); \forall e \in A, \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

Example 4.13:

Let $U_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}$ be the set of books; $U_2 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ be the set of pencils; $E = \{e_1 = b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6\}$

cheap, e_2 = expensive, e_3 = attractive, e_4 = small, e_5 = long, e_6 = colorful} be the set of parameters. The binary soft set (\ddot{F} , A) where A={ e_2 , e_6 }describes expensive & colorful features of both books and pencils which a stationary shop proprietor is going to purchase from a wholesale dealer. (\ddot{F} , A) is a VBSS over U_1 , U_2 defined as follows.

$$\ddot{F}(e_2) = \left\{ \langle \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{b_3}, \frac{[0.5,0.8]}{b_4}, \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{b_5} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.6]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.8,0.9]}{p_3}, \frac{[0.4,0.7]}{p_4} \rangle \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(e_6) = \left\{ \langle \frac{[0.3,0.4]}{b_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.7]}{b_2}, \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{b_3}, \frac{[0.6,0.7]}{b_4} \frac{[0.8,0.9]}{b_5} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{p_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.7]}{p_2}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{p_3}, \frac{[0.5,0.5]}{p_4} \rangle \right\}$$

The complement of the above vague binary soft set is given by $(F, A)^c$ is given by

$$\ddot{F}^{c}\left(\varrho_{2}\right)=\left\{\left\langle \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{b_{1}},\frac{[0.4,0.6]}{b_{2}},\frac{[0.2,0.8]}{b_{3}},\frac{[0.2,0.5]}{b_{4}}\frac{[0.2,0.5]}{b_{5}}\right\rangle ,\left\langle \frac{[0.4,0.5]}{p_{1}},\frac{[0.4,0.8]}{p_{2}},\frac{[0.1,0.2]}{p_{3}},\frac{[0.3,0.6]}{p_{4}}\right\rangle \right\}$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}}^{c}\left(e_{6}\right) = \left\{\left\langle \frac{[0.6,0.7]}{b_{1}}, \frac{[0.3,0.5]}{b_{2}}, \frac{[0.4,0.5]}{b_{3}}, \frac{[0.3,0.4]}{b_{4}} \frac{[0.1,0.2]}{b_{5}}\right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{p_{1}}, \frac{[0.3,0.6]}{p_{2}}, \frac{[0.2,0.8]}{p_{3}}, \frac{[0.5,0.5]}{p_{4}}\right\rangle\right\}$$

Definition 4.14:

Let (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) be two VBSS's over U_1, U_2 .

Cartesian product of $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$ and $(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$ is denoted as $(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\times} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$. Let it be $(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, A \times B)$.

It is a mapping given by $H: A \times B \longrightarrow V(U_1 \times U_1) \times V(U_2 \times U_2);$

 $\ddot{\mathcal{H}}(a,b) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) \times \ddot{\mathcal{G}}(b); \, \forall \, (a,b) \in (A \times B).$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018,

ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Example 4.15:

 $U_1 = \{t_1, t_2\}$ be the set T-shirts; $U_2 = \{k_1, k_2\}$ be the set of kurthas.

 $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ be the set of parameters.

A= $\{e_1, e_2\}\subseteq E$; B= $\{e_3, e_4\}\subseteq E$; Let (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) be two vague binary soft sets over U_1 , U_2 .

$$(\ddot{F}, A) = \{ (e_1, (\langle \frac{[0.2,0.3]}{t_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{t_2} \rangle, (\langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{k_1}, \frac{[0.2,0.6]}{k_2} \rangle)), (e_2, (\langle \frac{[0.3,0.4]}{t_1}, \frac{[0.5,0.7]}{t_2} \rangle, (\langle \frac{[0.7,0.8]}{k_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.7]}{k_2} \rangle)) \}$$

$$(\ddot{G}, B) = \{ (e_3, (\langle \frac{[0.4,0.5]}{t_1}, \frac{[0.8,0.9]}{t_2} \rangle, (\langle \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{k_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.6]}{k_2} \rangle)), (e_4, (\langle \frac{[0.5,0.7]}{t_1}, \frac{[0.4,0.8]}{t_2} \rangle, (\langle \frac{[0.6,0.8]}{k_1}, \frac{[0.3,0.7]}{k_2} \rangle)) \}$$

$$C = A \times B = \{ (e_1, e_3), (e_1, e_4), (e_2, e_3), (e_2, e_4) \}$$

$$(\ddot{F}, A) \ddot{\times} (\ddot{G}, B) = (\ddot{H}, C) = (\ddot{H}, A \times B) = {\ddot{H}(e_1, e_3), \ddot{H}(e_1, e_4), \ddot{H}(e_2, e_3), \ddot{H}(e_2, e_4)} \\ \ddot{H}(e_1, e_3) = {\left((e_1, e_3), \langle \frac{[0.2, 0.5]}{(t_1, t_2)}, \frac{[0.2, 0.9]}{(t_1, t_2)} \rangle, \langle \frac{[0.2, 0.6]}{(t_1, t_2)}, \frac{[0.2, 0.6]}{(t_1, t_2)} \rangle\right),$$

$$\left((e_{1},e_{3}),(\langle \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{(t_{2},t_{1})},\frac{[0.4,0.9]}{(t_{2},t_{2})}\rangle,\langle \frac{[0.4,0.6]}{(t_{2},k_{1})},\frac{[0.3,0.6]}{(t_{2},k_{2})}\rangle)\right),\left((e_{1},e_{3}),(\langle \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{(t_{1},t_{1})},\frac{[0.2,0.9]}{(t_{1},t_{2})}\rangle,\langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{(t_{1},k_{1})},\frac{[0.2,0.6]}{(t_{1},k_{2})}\rangle)\right),$$

$$\left((e_{1},e_{3}),(\langle \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{(k_{1},t_{1})},\frac{[0.2,0.9]}{(k_{1},t_{2})}\rangle,\langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{(k_{1},k_{2})},\frac{[0.2,0.6]}{(k_{1},k_{2})}\rangle)\right),\left((e_{1},e_{3}),(\langle \frac{[0.2,0.5]}{(k_{2},t_{1})},\frac{[0.2,0.9]}{(k_{2},t_{2})}\rangle,\langle \frac{[0.5,0.6]}{(k_{2},k_{1})},\frac{[0.2,0.6]}{(k_{2},k_{2})}\rangle)\right)\}$$

5. Algebraic properties of vague binary soft set operations

Some of the algebraic properties for the vague binary soft set operations defined in the last section are discussed here.

Proposition 5.1: (Identity laws)

For any vague binary soft set (\ddot{F}, A) defined on the absolute vague binary soft set (\ddot{U}, E) (1) $(\ddot{F}, A)U(\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$ (2) $(\ddot{F}, A)\cap(\ddot{U}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$

(1) Let(
$$\ddot{F}$$
, A) ={e \in A/(e, \ddot{F} (e))} where \ddot{F} (e) = { $\left(\left\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i} \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \right\rangle, \left\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i} ; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \right\rangle \right)$

$$(\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A}/(\mathbf{e}, \ddot{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{e})) \} \text{ where } \ddot{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{e}) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{A}) \cup (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A} / (\mathbf{e}, \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\max(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i), [0,0])}{x_i} \rangle; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{\max(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i), [0,0])}{y_i} \rangle; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018,

ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$= \{ e \in A / (e, \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i)}{x_i} \rangle; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i)}{y_i} \rangle; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.2:(Domination laws)

For any vague binary soft set (\ddot{F}, A) defined on the absolute vague binary soft set (\ddot{U}, A)

- $(1) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A)$
- $(2) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cup (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, A)$

Proof

(1) Let(
$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}}$$
, A) = {e \in A /(e, $\ddot{\mathcal{F}}$ (e))} where $\ddot{\mathcal{F}}$ (e)={\left(\left\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(\pi_i)}{\pi_i}; \pi_{\mathcal{X}_i}; \pi_{\mathcal{X}_i} \in \mathcal{U}_I\rangle, \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(\pi_i)}{\psi_i}; \pi_{\mathcal{Y}_i} \in \mathcal{V}_i \

$$\begin{split} (\ddot{\mathcal{O}},\mathbf{A}) &= \{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A} \ / (\mathbf{e}, \ddot{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{e}))\} = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i} \rangle; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ (\ddot{\mathcal{F}},\mathbf{A}) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{O}},\mathbf{A}) &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\min(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i),[0,0])}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{\min(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(\mathcal{Y}_i),[0,0])}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} = (\ddot{\mathcal{O}},\mathbf{A}) \end{split}$$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.3:(Idempotent laws)

For any vague binary soft set (\mathcal{F}, A) over the absolute vague binary soft set (\mathcal{U}, A)

$$(1) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$

$$(2)(\ddot{F}, A)U(\ddot{F}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$$

Proof

(1) Let
$$(\ddot{F}, A) = \{e \in A/(e, \ddot{F}(e))\}$$
 where $\ddot{F}(e) = \{\left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}\rangle; \forall x_i \in U_I\rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}\rangle; \forall y_i \in U_I\rangle\right)$

$$\begin{split} (\ddot{F},\mathbf{A}) \cap (\ddot{F},\mathbf{A}) &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\min(V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i),V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i))}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \rangle, \langle \frac{\min(V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i),V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i))}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} = (\ddot{F},\mathbf{A}) \end{split}$$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.4:(Complemental laws)

- $(1) (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A)^{c} = (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, A)$
- $(2) (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, A)^{c} = (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A)$

(1) Let
$$(\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$

$$(\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{A}) = \{ \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A} / (\mathbf{e}, \{ (\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{v_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle) \}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$\begin{split} (\ddot{F},\mathbf{A}) &= \{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A} \: / \: (\mathbf{e}, \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(\mathbf{e})}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(\mathbf{e})}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &\quad \text{Then } \forall \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A}, \: \ddot{F}(\mathbf{e}) &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(\mathbf{e})}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(\mathbf{e})}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &\quad (\ddot{F}(\mathbf{e}))^{\mathsf{c}} &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[1,1]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \rangle, \langle \frac{[1,1]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\} = \ddot{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{e}), \: \forall \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A} \Longrightarrow (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbf{A})^{\mathsf{c}} = (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{A}) \end{split}$$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.5:(Commutative laws)

For any vague binary soft set (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, B) over the absolute vague binary soft set (\ddot{U}, E)

$$(1) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B) = (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$

$$(2)(\ddot{F}, A)U(\ddot{G}, B) = (\ddot{G}, B)U(\ddot{F}, A)$$

Proof

Proof is obvious

Proposition 5.6:(Associative laws)

For any vague binary soft set (\ddot{F}, A) , (\ddot{G}, B) and (\ddot{H}, C) over absolute vague binary soft set (\ddot{U}, E)

$$(1) ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap ((\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C))$$

$$(2)((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)U(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))U(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)U((\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)U(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C))$$

Proof

Proof is obvious

Proposition 5.7:(Distributive laws)

 $(1)\ (\ddot{\mathcal{F}},A)\cap((\ddot{\mathcal{G}},B))\cup(\ddot{\mathcal{H}},C))=((\ddot{\mathcal{F}},A)\cap(\ddot{\mathcal{G}},B))\cup((\ddot{\mathcal{F}},A))\cap(\ddot{\mathcal{H}},C))$

$$(2) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cup ((\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C))) = ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cup (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))) \cap ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cup (\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, C))$$

Proof

Proof is obvious

Proposition 5.8:

Let (\ddot{F}, A) and (\ddot{G}, A) be two vague binary soft sets in VBSS $(U_1, U_2)_A$. Then following are true.

$$(1)(\ddot{F}, A) \subseteq (\ddot{G}, A) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{F}, A) \cap (\ddot{G}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$$

$$(2)(\ddot{F}, A) \subseteq (\ddot{G}, A) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{F}, A) \cup (\ddot{G}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$$

Proof

 $Let(\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \subseteq (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, A)$

Then $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$, $\forall e \in A$

Let $(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, A)$

Since $\ddot{\mathcal{H}}(e) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(e) \cap \ddot{\mathcal{G}}(e)$, $\forall e \in A = \ddot{\mathcal{H}}(e) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(e)$, $\forall e \in A$

By definition($\ddot{\mathcal{H}}$,A)=($\ddot{\mathcal{F}}$, A)

Again suppose that $(\ddot{F}, A) \cap (\ddot{G}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$

Let $(\ddot{F}, A) \cap (\ddot{G}, A) = (\ddot{H}, A)$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Since $\mathcal{H}(e) = \mathcal{F}(e) \cap \mathcal{G}(e)$, $\forall e \in A \Longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(e) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(e)$, $\forall e \in A \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(E)$ (2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.9:

For any vague binary soft set (\mathcal{F}, A) and (\mathcal{G}, B) over absolute vague binary soft set (\mathcal{U}, E)

$$(1) ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\mathsf{U}} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))^{c} \subseteq (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^{c} \mathsf{U} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^{c}$$

$$(2) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^{c} \ddot{\sqcap} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^{c} \subseteq ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \cap (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))^{c}$$

(1) Let
$$(F, A)\ddot{U}(G, B) = (H, C)$$
 where $C = (AUB)$ and $\forall e \in C$,

$$\ddot{\mathcal{H}}(e) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B)$

$$\ddot{G}(e)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A)$

$$F(e)\ddot{U}G(e)$$
; $e \in (A \cap B)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} t_{\mathcal{H}(\mathsf{e})} = t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{e})}(x_i) & ; \mathsf{e} \in (\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{B}), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \\ \\ t_{\mathcal{G}(\mathsf{e})}(x_i) & ; \mathsf{e} \in (\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{A}), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I \end{array}$$

$$t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A), \forall x_i \in U_A$

$$t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$

$$t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(y_i)$$
 ; $e \in (B-A), \forall y_i \in U_2$

$$\max(t_{\ddot{F}(e)}(x_i),t_{\ddot{G}(e)}(x_i)) ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$$

$$\max(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{e})}(y_i), t_{\mathcal{G}(\mathsf{e})}(y_i)) \; ; \; \mathsf{e} \in (\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{B}), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$$

$$1 - f_{\mathcal{H}(e)} = 1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i) \qquad ; e \in (A - B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$$

$$1 - f_{\ddot{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(x_i) \qquad \qquad ; e \in (B-A), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_I$$

$$1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i)$$
 ; $e \in (A-B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$

$$1 - f_{\ddot{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(y_i) \qquad \qquad ; e \in (B-A), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$$

$$\max(1-f_{\ddot{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{e})}(\chi_i\,,\,1-f_{\ddot{\mathcal{C}}(\mathrm{e})}(\chi_i)\,;\,\mathrm{e}\!\in\!(\mathsf{A}\!\cap\!\mathsf{B}),\,\forall\chi_i\,\in\,\mathcal{U}_I$$

$$\max(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i),\,1-f_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e})}(y_i));\,\mathbf{e}\!\in\!(\mathsf{A}\cap\mathsf{B}),\,\forall y_i\,\in\,\mathcal{U}_2$$

$$((\ddot{\mathcal{F}},A)\ddot{\cup}(\ddot{\mathcal{G}},B))^c = (\ddot{\mathcal{H}},C)^c \; ; C = (A \cup B) \text{ and } \forall e \in C,$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{F}}(e) = \, \ddot{\mathcal{F}}^c(e) \qquad ; \, e \! \in \! (A \! - \! B)$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \quad ; e \in (B-A)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{c}(e) \cup \mathcal{F}^{c}(e) : e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f_{\mathcal{H}_{(e)}} &= 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i) & ; e \in (A - B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \\ 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i) & ; e \in (B - A), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \\ 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i) & ; e \in (A - B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \\ 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i) & ; e \in (B - A), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \\ \max \left((1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i), 1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(x_i) \right) ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \\ \max \left(1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i), 1 - f_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(y_i) \right) & ; e \in (A \cap B), \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Here $C \subseteq N$ and $\forall e \in C$, $\ddot{H}^{c}(e) = \ddot{M}(e)$

Thus $((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)\ddot{\cup}(\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))^c \subseteq (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^c \cup (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^c$

(2)Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.10:(De- Morgan's law)

For any VBSS (\ddot{F} , A) and (\ddot{G} , B) over absolute VBSS (\ddot{U} , E)

$$(1) ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\cup} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, A))^{c} = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^{c} \ddot{\cap} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^{c}$$

$$(2) ((\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\sqcap} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B))^{c} = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^{c} \ddot{\cup} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^{c}$$

(1) Let
$$(\ddot{F}, A)\ddot{U}(\ddot{G}, A) = (\ddot{H}, A)$$

 $\forall e \in A, \ddot{H}(e) = \ddot{F}(e) \ddot{U}\ddot{G}(e)$
 $= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[t_{F(e)}(x_i), 1 - f_{F(e)}(x_i)]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_I \rangle, \langle \frac{[t_{F(e)}(y_i), 1 - f_{F(e)}(y_i)]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\} \ddot{U}$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\left[t_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(x_i), 1 - f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(x_i) \right]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_l \rangle, \langle \frac{\left[t_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(y_i), 1 - f_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(e)}(y_i) \right]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \langle \frac{\max\left(\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}), t_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right), \max\left(\left(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}), 1-f_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right)\right)}{x^{i}}; \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{1} \rangle, \\ \langle \frac{\max\left(\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}), t_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right), \max\left(\left(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}), 1-f_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right)\right)}{y_{i}}; \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\ddot{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{e})^{c} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\min\left(\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}), t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right), \min\left(\left(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}), 1-f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right)\right)}{x_{i}}; \forall x_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{l} \rangle, \\ \left\langle \frac{\min\left\{t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}), t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right\}, \min\left(\left(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}), 1-f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right)\right)}{y_{i}}; \forall y_{i} \in \mathcal{U}_{2} \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \forall \mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{A}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Let $(\ddot{F}, A)^c \ddot{\cap} (\ddot{G}, B)^c = (\ddot{M}, A)$

 $\forall e \in A, \ddot{M}(e) = \ddot{F}(e)^c \ddot{U} \ddot{G}(e)^c$

$$\begin{split} &=\left\{\left(\langle\frac{[f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}),1-t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})]}{x_{i}};\forall x_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{1}\rangle,\langle\frac{[f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}),1-t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})]}{y_{i}};\forall y_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{2}\rangle\right)\right\}\ddot{\cap}\\ &=\left\{\left(\langle\frac{[f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}),1-t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})]}{x_{i}};\forall x_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{1}\rangle,\langle\frac{[f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}),1-t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})]}{y_{i}};\forall y_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{2}\rangle\right)\right\}\\ &=\left\{\left(\langle\frac{\min\left(\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}),t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right),\min\left(\left(1-f_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i}),1-f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(x_{i})\right)\right)}{x_{i}};\forall x_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{1}\rangle,\langle\frac{\min\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}),t_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right),\min\left(t_{\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i}),1-f_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{e})}(y_{i})\right)}{y_{i}};\forall y_{i}\in\mathcal{U}_{2}\rangle\right)\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)^{c} \ddot{\cap} (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)^{c} \Rightarrow$ $(\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{H}}, A).$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.11:(Idempotent property for AND & OR operation)

For any vague binary soft set (\ddot{F}, A) over the absolute vague binary soft set (\ddot{U}, E)

$$(1) (\ddot{F}, A) \ddot{\wedge} (\ddot{F}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$$

$$(2)(\ddot{F}, A)\ddot{\vee}(\ddot{F}, A) = (\ddot{F}, A)$$

Proof

 $(\ddot{F}, A)\ddot{\wedge}(\ddot{F}, A) = (\ddot{M}, C)$ where $C = A \times A$, $\forall (a, a) \in C = (A \times A)$,

$$\ddot{\mathcal{M}}(a,a) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) \ddot{\cap} \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) = \ddot{\mathcal{F}}(a) \Longrightarrow (\ddot{\mathcal{M}}, C) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \Longrightarrow (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\wedge} (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A)$$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

Proposition 5.12:

$$(1) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\mathsf{U}} (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, B) = (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \iff \mathbf{A}$$

$$(2) (\ddot{\mathcal{F}}, A) \ddot{\cup} (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, B) = (\ddot{\mathcal{U}}, A) \iff A \subseteq B$$

$$(\ddot{F}, A) = \{ e \in A / (e, \ddot{F}(e)) \} \text{ where } \ddot{F}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\mathcal{V}_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{\mathcal{V}_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

$$Also let (\ddot{\mathcal{O}}, B) = (\ddot{\mathcal{G}}, B)$$

$$\forall e \in B, \ \ddot{\mathcal{G}}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{[0,0]}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in \mathcal{U}_1 \rangle, \langle \frac{[0,0]}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in \mathcal{U}_2 \rangle \right) \right\}$$

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Let
$$(F, A)\ddot{\cup}(F, B) = (F, C)$$
 where C=AUB

 $\forall e \in C, \mathcal{H}(e) = F(e)$; $e \in (A-B)$
 $F(e)\ddot{\cup}F(e)$; $e \in (A\cap B)$

$$= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A-B)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{|0,0|}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{|0,0|}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (B-A)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{\max(V_{F(e)}(x_i), |0,0|)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A-B)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{|0,0|}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{|0,0|}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$$

Let $B \subseteq A$,

 $\mathcal{H}(e) = \left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$$

$$\left\{ \left(\langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(x_i)}{x_i}; \forall x_i \in U_l \rangle, \langle \frac{V_{F(e)}(y_i)}{y_i}; \forall y_i \in U_2 \rangle \right) \right\}; e \in (A\cap B)$$

$$= F(e), \forall e \in A$$

Conversely $(F, A)\ddot{\cup}(\mathcal{O}, B) = (F, A) \Rightarrow AUB = A \Rightarrow B \subseteq A$

(2) Proof is similar to (1)

7. Conclusion

Vague binary soft sets are introduced with two initial universes. It is hoped that this hybrid form can extract positive qualities of both vague and soft sets and would be more useful while handling real life situations with uncertainties and vagueness.

References

- [1] Ahu Acikgoz, NihalTas, Binary soft set theory, European Journal of pure and applied mathematics, Vol. 9, No.4, 2016, 452-463, ISSN 1307-5543-www.ejpam.com
- [2] Al-Quran A and Hassan N, Neutrosophic vague soft set and its applications, Malaysian journal of mathematical sciences 11(2):141-163(2017)

Vol. 7 Issue 11, November 2018, ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.238

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

[3] Bing-xue Yao, Jin-liang Liu and Rui-xia Yan, Fuzzy soft and soft fuzzy sets, 978-0-7695- 3304-9/08\$25.00@2008.IEEE, DOI 10.1109/ICNC.2008.137

- [4] I. Arockiarani, S.Cicily Flora and L.Mariapresenti, Bipolar vague soft topological space, International Journal of Applied Research 2016;2(7): 463-474
- [5] Wei Xu, Jian Ma, Shouyang Wang, Gang Hao, Vague soft sets and their properties, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010) 787-794
- [6] Xiaokun Huang, Hongjie Li, Yunqiang Yin, Notes on "Vague soft sets and their properties", Computers and Mathematics 64(2012) 2153-2157